# Starlink Protocol Performance

Geoff Huston APNiC

# Low Earth Orbit

- LEO satellites are stationed between 160km and 2,000km in altitude
- High enough to stop them slowing down by "grazing" the denser parts of the earth's ionosphere
- Not so high that they lose the radiation protection afforded by the Inner Van Allen belt.
- At a height of 550km, the minimum signal propagation delay to reach the satellite and back is 3.7ms, and at the horizon its 18ms







screenshot from starwatch app

Image - spacex

#### Starlink Constellation



If you use a minimum angle of elevation of 25° then at an altitude of 550km each satellite spans a terrestrial footprint of no more than ~900Km radius, or 2M K<sup>2</sup>

At a minimum, a LEO satellite constellation needs 500 satellites to provide coverage of all parts of the earth's surface

For high quality coverage the constellation will need 6x-20x that number (or more!)

#### Starlink Constellation

• 4,276 in-service operational spacecraft, operating at an altitude of 550km





https://satellitemap.space/

# Looking Up

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

Starlink tracks satellites with a minimum elevation of 25°.

There are between 30 – 50 visible Starlink satellites at any point on the surface between latitudes 56° North and South

Each satellite traverses the visible aperture for a maximum of ~3 minutes

# Starlink Scheduling

- A satellite is assigned to a user terminal in 15 second time slots
- Tracking of a satellite (by phased array focussing) works across 11 degrees of arc per satellite in each 15 second slot

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Starlink Scheduling

- Latency changes on each satellite switch
- If we take the minimum latency on each 15 second scheduling interval, we can expose the effects of the switching interval on latency
- Across the 15 second interval on latency
  Across the 15 second interval interval of there will be a drift in latency according to the satellite's track and the distance relative to the two earth points
- Other user traffic will also impact on latency, and also the effects of a large buffer in the user modem

![](_page_6_Figure_5.jpeg)

<sup>15-</sup>second interval sequence number

# Starlink Spot Beams

- Each spacecraft 2,000 MHz of spectrum for user downlink and splits it into 8x channels of 250 MHz each
- Each satellite has 3 downlink antennas and 1 uplink antennas, and each can do 8 beams x 2 polarizations, for a total of 48 beams down and 16 up.

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

"Unveiling Beamforming Strategies of Starlink LEO Satellites"

https://people.engineering.osu.edu/sites/default/files/2022-10/Kassas\_Unveiling\_Beamforming\_Strategies\_of\_Starlink\_LEO\_Satellites.pdf

### Starlink's reports

\$ starlink-grpc-tools/dish\_grpc\_text.py -v status id: ut0100000-0000000-005dd555 hardware\_version: rev3\_proto2 software\_version: 5a923943-5acb-4d05-ac58-dd93e72b7862.uterm.release state: CONNECTED uptime: 481674 snr: seconds\_to\_first\_nonempty\_slot: 0.0 non ning drop rate: 0.0 downlink\_throughput\_bps: 16693.330078125 uplink\_throughput\_bps: 109127.3984375 pop\_ping\_latency\_ms: Alerts bit field: 49.5 fraction\_obstructed: 0.04149007424712181 currently\_obstructed: False seconds\_obstructed: obstruction duration: 1.9579976797103882 obstruction\_interval: 540.0 direction azimuth: -42.67951583862305 direction\_elevation: 64.61225128173828

#### Reported Capacity & Latency

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Reported Capacity & Latency

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Reported Capacity & Latency

- This is going to present some interesting issues for conventional TCP
- TCP uses ACK pacing which means it attempts to optimize its sending rate over multiple RTT intervals
- The variation in latency and capacity occurs at high frequency, which means that TCP control is going to struggle to optimise

Latency

2350

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

### How well does all this work?

#### Speedtest measurements:

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

We should be able to get 120Mbps out of a starlink connection. Right?

#### Link Characteristics

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Link Characteristics

1-second ping

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### TCP Flow Control Algorithms

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

"Ideal" Flow behaviour for each protocol

## iperf3 - cubic, 60 seconds

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

Seconds

# Qperf - quic (with cubic)

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

# iperf3 - bbr

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

TCP with BBR

# Cubic, Quic/Cubic, BBR

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Protocol Performance Compared

# Protocol Considerations

- Starlink services have two issues for transport protocols:
  - Very high jitter rates
  - High levels of micro-loss
- Loss-based flow control algorithms will over-react and pull back the sending rate
  - Short transactions work well
  - Paced connections (voice, zoom) tend to work well most of the time
  - Bulk data transfer not so much
- It's better to use a conventional TCP control with a large SACK window or use loss-insensitive flow control algorithms, such as BBR, to get high transfer rate performance out of this service

# Questions?