IAB Routing Workshop Constraints

Jason Schiller

- Routing system must scale
- Business cases require TE
- Complete separation of locator and identifier
- Security
- Backwards compatibility

- Routing system must scale
 - Function of DFZ Internet routing table
 - Function of internal routes
 - Function of 2547 VRF routes
 - Hardware upgrade treadmill
 - Can hardware keep up at a reasonable cost
 - FIB scaling becomes non linear
 - 5 year depreciation cycle
 - 2 year certification / deployment cycle
 - If Tier 1 ISPs melt down, other people inherit the problem
 - Health of the Internet

- Business cases require TE
 - Apparently hard to define
 - Capacity planning is place circuits where the traffic is
 - TE is pushing traffic where capacity is
 - TE is pushing specific traffic on specific links as required by policy / business constraints
 - End site TE
 - Small ISP to transit provider TE
 - Need locator mapping available to transit routers
 - Large ISP TE
 - Need locator mapping available to transit routers

Complete separation of locator and identifier

- Security
 - Don't make securing the routing system any worse
 - Can we also make is more difficult to inject false routing information
 - Can we make it easier to filter DoS traffic
 - Id transparent to transit routers
 - Unlike NAT / proxies

- Backwards compatibility
 - Should we constrain solution space to be backwards compatible?
 - Find the right solution
 - See if it can easily be made backwards compatible
 - See what trade offs we lose for backwards compatible